# Seismic Data QC in Support of Earthquake Source Parameter Determination Poster S21B-2062 R. B. Herrmann, Saint Louis University, rbh@eas.slu.edu and, H. Benz, USGS, benz@usgs.gov, J. L. Bonner, Weston Geophysical, jes\_bonner@yahoo.com

### Objective

The ANSS (Advanced National Seismic System) requires network operators to deliver quality digital data streams and metadata to the regional data centers, NEIC and IRIS.

This poster presents three components of monitoring compliance with performance standards:

- Examination of contents of the dataless SEED
- Routine noise analysis
- Waveform comparison of noise and earthquakes

# **Examination of Dataless SEED**

Since dataless SEED is manually created, errors occur and a routine way to review the contents is required. Even for one station, the possibility of error is great, since different data streams, e.g., LHZ, BHZ and HHZ, can have different FIR filters for each stage. This is further complicated since the dataless SEED for a network can contain the entire response history of all of the stations and channels of the network for all time, thus requiring the verification of significant amounts of information.

Our approach is to use a SLU modified version of <u>rdseed5.0</u> which provides additional <u>stderr</u> output that is used with a shell script to create a web page describing the response history for a station. <u>rdseed</u> is invoked within the shell scripts as

rdseed -f SLM.dataless.seed -R -p 2>&1 > rdseed.out

This command line creates the RESP (-R) and Sac pole-zero (-p) files in addition to the augmented <u>stderr</u> output. The processing scripts use <u>evalresp</u> and the Computer Programs in Seismology (CPS) <u>gsac</u> together with CPS graphics to create the entire web page

http://www.eas.slu.edu/Earthquake\_Center/NM/SLM/SLM.R/SLMindex.html

#### Text Output

#### Summary of Response History

 NM
 SLM
 BHZ
 1997,037,14:00:00.0000
 1999,160,20:40:00.0000
 Peak
 Sensitivity
 8.5711e+08
 Counts/METER/S
 at
 5.34
 Hz

 NM
 SLM
 BHZ
 1999,160,20:40:00.0000
 99999,9999,00:00:00:0000
 Peak
 Sensitivity
 6.3864e+08
 Counts/METER/S
 at
 5.34
 Hz

#### Station Information from CPS annotated rdseed

NET	STA	LC	СМР	ON_DATE	OFF_DATE	LAT	LON	ELV	DIP	AZ	RATE RESPONSE_FILE
	POLI	E_ZE	RO_FILE								
NM	SLM	**	BHE	1999,160,20:40:00.0000	99999,9999,00:00:00.0000	38.636100	-90.236400	186.0	0.0	90.0	20 RESP.NM.SLMBHE
SAC	PZs_N	M_SL	M_BHE	1999.160.20.40.00.0000_	99999.9999.24.60.60.99999						
NM	SLM	**	BHE	1997,037,14:00:00.0000	1999,160,20:40:00.0000	38.636100	-90.236400	186.0	0.0	90.0	20 RESP.NM.SLMBHE
SAC	PZs_N	M_SL	M_BHE	1997.037.14.00.00.0000_	1999.160.20.40.60.99999						

The detailed information permits a quick review of response history, station coordinates and component orientations. The ON and OFF dates are used to select the time window for use with <u>evalresp</u> which can then be associated with the corresponding Sac pole-zero file.

Free Open Documented Software

Computer Programs in Seismology (137 programs): http://www.eas.slu.edu/People/RBHerrmann/CPS330.html Tutorials, Documentation, Q&A



At T=6.28 sec, the responses are the same numerically. The reason for this comparison is that it is possible for the RESP and pole-zero files to be different if the normalization frequency is in the band where the FIR's influence the response since the pole-zero representation cannot use the FIR response. SLU made this mistake once.

#### **Noise Plots**

Noise PSD plots can be used to track the performance of a data channel with time. It is also useful for comparing similar components within a network. The assumption is that ground noise, especially in the microseism band is the same everywhere. Deviations from the general trend can be ascribed to sensor malfunction, incorrect metadata or special site conditions.

We focus here on the broadband Z component the NM, AG, US and IW networks. We acquired waveform data from the NEIC Continuous Wave Buffer using <u>CWBQuery</u> and the RESP files using <u>mdget</u>. Thus we check actual deliverables to NEIC. We use the RESP file since the CWBQuery polezero file is derived from the RESP file and since the RESP file normally includes the FIR filter stages. We should also test the pole-zero files.

The PSD's are computed using the CPS program <u>sacpsd</u> and some shell scripts.



Detailed examination is possible using a combination of <u>awk</u> and <u>grep</u> to determine the specific station/channel with an anomalous response at a particular period.

For the SLU stations of the NM network, the MPH BHZ is slightly noisy at a period of 20 sec and PVMO is horrible at a period of 0.1 sec. Upon examining the RESP files, we determined that the RESP files in the metadata server were not current, since the waveforms had a 40 Hz sample rate and the RESP file had the response for the older 20 Hz sample rate. From the <u>rdseed</u> procedure the response summary shows that

NM	PVMO BHZ	2002,291,16:00:00.0000	2007,075,20:15:00.0000	Peak	Sensitivity	8.4425e+08	Counts/METER/S	at	5.34	: Hz
NM	PVMO BHZ	2007,075,20:15:00.0000	2010,293,18:00:00.0000	Peak	Sensitivity	8.5633e+08	Counts/METER/S	at	5.34	Hz
NM	PVMO BHZ	2010,293,18:00:00.0000	99999,9999,00:00:00.0000 H	Peak S	Sensitivity 8	.3635e+08 (	Counts/METER/S	7.3	0 Hz	

Fortunately the gains are similar so that the pole-zero files are not affected much, but the public metadata server is not updating properly.

The AG network groups together better than the NM network. This is because many NM stations are in noisy environments (city for SLM, building air-handling for SIUC, railroad at PVMO).

The IW stations are quieter at short periods, but the station DLMT BHZ has a noisy response at long periods which may be a sensor problem.

The US network covers the continental US and samples different noise environments across the country.

This display differs from the typical USGS/IRIS presentations which focus on the stability of the response of a single channel.

Our comparison of the same component of ground motion is a tool for highlighting station problems in the context of the entire network.

### Waveform Comparison

The third element entails waveform comparisons for the purpose of verifying the metadata and for monitoring sensor performance. There are several approaches:

- Comparing the same component of ground motion for all recorded channels at a site by deconvolving the instrument response and filtering all signals in a band with adequate signal. The ideal case is that all traces overlap
- Comparing a teleseismic ground motion at adjacent stations within a network using some part of the signal that should be identical within the dimensions of the network, e.g., P-wave or surface wave.

# Side-by-side Comparison

Background: Comparison of ground motions from accelerometer and broadband at MGMO indicated a lack of agreement. The MGMO system was returned to SLU and compared other systems on the same SLM pier. The vote was STS-2, Trillium-120, 2 Episensors against the MGMO Trillium-40.

comparison 1-3 nz intered verocity on 3Lm pier ontis (m/s)	comparison 1-3 Hz. SEM(S132-blue) MGMO(11140-red) onits (m/s)	
1.20 1.20 0.60 0.00	0.80       MGM@BHZ.NMeqc.ENZ         1.80       MGM@BHZ.NMeqc.ENZ         1.80       SLMBHZ.NMsqc.ENZ         0.00       SLMBHZ.NMsqc.ENZ         1.80       MGMOBHN.NMsqc.ENZ         0.00       SLMBHZ.NMsqc.ENZ         1.80       MGMOBHN.NMsqc.ENZ         0.00       SLMBHZ.NMsqc.ENZ         1.80       SLMBHN.NMsqc.ENZ         0.00       SLMBHN.NMsqc.ENZ	<ul> <li>1.80</li> <li>0.00</li> <li>-1.80</li> <li>0.00</li> <li>-1.80</li> <li>0.00</li> <li>-1.80</li> <li>0.00</li> <li>-1.80</li> <li>-1.8</li></ul>
-1.20 -1.80 Mar 30 (089), 2009 12:11:52.068 Time (s)	-1.80 1.80 0.00 -1.80 1.80 0.00 -1.80 -1.90	-1.80 0.00 -1.80 0.00 -1.80 Mar 30 (089), 2009 12:11:52.086 -1.80

The ZNE comparison for a teleseism showed no correspondence in ZNE motion . gsac was modified to permit a

GSAC> rotate3 to UVWTRIL

To synthesize UVW for STS-2 to compare with internal UVW of Trillium. Conclusion: Repair Trillium since W-axis sensor malfunctioned.

### Intra-network Comparison

Problem: When comparing ZNE at AL to RL of the local network and II of IRIS for the same teleseism east of the network, AL is odd. Next apply

#### GSAC> rot3 to UVWTRIL

ي. ا		
0.70 -0.70		Arbanana BHE
0.70 -0.70		-∽⊷L~···~ BHN
0.70 - 0.70 - 0.70		Al-
0.70 0.70		~µ~
0.70 0.70		~ ~ BHN
••• • 0.70 • -0.70		~µ внz
0.70 0.70		
0.70 0.70		
۰ 0.70 0.70		
* 0110	L 10 20 30 40 50	)

۰0.70 • 0.70 • -0.70				~~~^
0.70 - 0.70				ALANA BHN
0.70 - 0.70 - 0.70		1		BHZ
0.70 - 0.70 - 0.70				BHU
0.70			- Municipal de la compara de l	
0.70 0.70 			- Murren - M	BHW
0.70 0.70 • -0.70				
0.70 • 0.70				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
0.70 -0.70				
	10 Time relative to B 0.000000	20	30 40	50

Resolution: Sensor interrogation indicated it was in UVW mode and not ZNE. However the synthesized UVW at RL and II do not agree with the presumed AL UVW. Check the AL sensor orientation or repair.

# What's Next?

Implement a local SLUQuery Establish procedures for routine performance evaluation

# Conclusion

Seismic network QC requires a complementary set of performance measuring tools to ensure correct metadata and maintenance of data acquisition systems. Being able to develop new tools (e.g., for use within gsac) is necessary.